CITY OF NOTTINGHAM

LICENSING COMMITTEE

MINUTES

of meeting held on 19 JANUARY 2004 at the

Council House from 10.01 am to 11.08 am

Councillor Cresswell (Chair)
Councillor Grocock (Vice-Chair)
Councillor Clarke-Smith
Councillor Ibrahim
Councillor Packer
Councillor Smith

indicates present at meeting

Councillor Wilson

61 CHAIR

In the absence of Councillors Cresswell and Grocock, the Chair was taken by Councillor Wilson.

62 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors Cresswell and Grocock.

63 MINUTES

RESOLVED that the minutes of the last meeting held on 8 January 2003, copies of which had been circulated, be confirmed and signed by the Chair presiding at the meeting.

64 <u>SPIDERS, PELHAM STREET - APPLICATION FOR VARIATION OF ENTERTAINMENTS LICENCE</u>

Consideration was given to a report of the Corporate Director of City Development, copies of which had been circulated.

The applicant, Mr M Potten, accepted the Committee's invitation to attend the meeting and was represented by Mr J Anderson, Solicitor, who presented the case on his behalf. Mr T Coulson, Service Manager (Food and Licensing), City Development Department, presented the report.

Mr Potten, Mr Anderson and Mr Coulson, having made their submissions and been available for questioning, withdrew from the meeting during the committee's deliberations.

In reaching its decision, the Committee had regard to:-

- (a) the legislative framework and City Council's Policy and conditions for public entertainment licences:
- (b) duties imposed on the Council by Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and the Human Rights Act 1998;
- (c) submissions by Mr Potten and Mr Anderson regarding the application;
- (d) comments of the Corporate Director of City Development regarding the application and contained in the report;
- (e) the objection to the application by Councillor Shaw.

RESOLVED that the application to vary the Public Entertainments Licence, for the premises known as 'Spiders', be granted so as to include entertainment on a Sunday for the following hours:-

Sundays preceding Bank Holidays (except Easter) noon to 12.30pm noon to 2am.

65 <u>PITCHER & PIANO, HIGH PAVEMENT – APPLICATION FOR CONSENT TO</u> STRUCTURAL ALTERATIONS

Consideration was given to a report of the Corporate Director of City Development, copies of which had been circulated.

The applicant did not accept the invitation to attend the meeting but was represented by Mr J Anderson, Solicitor, who presented the case on the licensee's behalf. Also in attendance was Mr G Kurrush, liquor licensee for the premises. Mr T Coulson, Service Manager (Food and Licensing), City Development Department, presented the report.

Mr Anderson, Mr Kurrush and Mr Coulson, having made their submissions and been available for questioning, withdrew from the meeting during the committee's deliberations.

In reaching its decision, the Committee had regard to:-

- (a) the legislative framework and City Council's Policy and conditions for public entertainment licences;
- (b) duties imposed on the Council by Section 17 of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and the Human Rights Act 1998;
- (c) submissions by Mr Kurrush and Mr Anderson regarding the application;
- (d) comments of the Corporate Director of City Development regarding the application and contained in the report;
- (e) the objection to the application by Councillor Shaw.

RESOLVED that, upon the applicant undertaking to carry out the works identified in the letter of 3 November 2003 from the City Council's Food and Licensing Section to Poppleston Allen, and subject to a satisfactory final inspection by the Fire Officer, Building Control and the Environmental Health Officer, the application for consent to structural alterations to include an increase in the occupancy by 100 persons be granted.

66 EXCLUSION OF PUBLIC

RESOLVED that, pursuant to Section 100A(4) of the local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting during consideration of the following item as it involved the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraph 7 of Part 1 of schedule 12(A) to the Act.

67 APPEAL AGAINST REVOCATION OF DOOR SUPERVISOR REGISTRATION

The appellant was represented by Mr J Anderson, Solicitor, who requested deferral of this item to a future date for personal reasons concerning the appellant, which were explained to the Committee.

RESOLVED that consideration of the appeal against the decision of the Corporate Director of City Development to revoke Mr D's door supervisor registration be deferred to a future meeting of this Committee.